



# UC RIVERSIDE - Student Evaluation of Instructor, Faculty Evaluation Courses - Summer Session 2 2007

Course: PHIL 113 Section: 201 - GOD  
 Instructor: John Michael McAteer  
 Home Dept.: Philosophy

Enrollment: 11  
 Respondents: 3  
 Response Rate: 27%

Enrollment: 118  
 Respondents: 55  
 Response Rate: 47%

Enrollment: 6343  
 Respondents: 2936  
 Response Rate: 46%

Questions	Course						Mean Med SD			Department				Campus			
	<u>5</u> High	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low	<u>N/A</u>	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	2	-	1	-	-	-	4.3	5.0	1.2	100	3.8	4.0	1.1	76	3.8	4.0	1.2
2 I attended class regularly	3	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.8	5.0	0.6	100	4.7	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	3	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.3	5.0	0.9	100	4.3	5.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	100	4.5	5.0	0.8	88	4.3	5.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	3	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	3.8	4.0	1.2	100	3.8	4.0	1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	1	2	-	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.6	33	4.7	5.0	0.8	67	4.5	5.0	0.9
7 Instructor used class time effectively	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	50	4.7	5.0	0.9	88	4.4	5.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	67	4.7	5.0	0.8	88	4.3	5.0	1.1
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	1	2	-	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.6	25	4.7	5.0	0.8	73	4.5	5.0	0.9
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	50	4.7	5.0	0.7	87	4.5	5.0	1.0
11 Instructor was available and helpful	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	50	4.6	5.0	0.8	88	4.4	5.0	1.0
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	75	4.5	5.0	1.0	86	4.4	5.0	1.0
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	75	4.6	5.0	0.8	88	4.4	5.0	1.0
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	67	4.8	5.0	0.7	89	4.4	5.0	0.9
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	3	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.7	5.0	0.7	100	4.4	5.0	1.0
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	100	4.6	5.0	0.6	88	4.3	5.0	1.0
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	100	4.5	5.0	0.9	86	4.4	5.0	1.0
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	3	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.8	5.0	0.7	100	4.2	5.0	1.1
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	2	1	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	100	4.6	5.0	0.9	88	4.3	5.0	1.0
20 Q1	-	-	-	-	-	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	1.2	n/a	4.1	5.0	1.3
21 Q2	-	-	-	-	-	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.3	4.5	1.0	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.2
22 Q3	-	-	-	-	-	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	1.2	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.2
23 Q4	-	-	-	-	-	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	1.2	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.2
24 Q5	-	-	-	-	-	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	1.2	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.2

\* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.



## UC RIVERSIDE - Student Comments of Instructor, Faculty Evaluation Courses - Summer Session 2 2007

Course: PHIL 113 Section: 201 - GOD

Instructor: John Michael McAteer

**Question # 25:** Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous

- Ppts on ilearn helped during recap for essay. Structure got messed up with missed class time. Made it confusing at times. Overall good class though it wasn't what I expected
- John was a great instructor and it was a pleasure to take his class. I found the material and the course plan fascinating and I learned a lot. John is sensitive to his students' progress in learning and understanding the material. He could be a little more energetic and enthusiastic about the material, but I think he just has a mellow personality, and although he's excited about it, he just doesn't show it. Overall, A+. Good job, John,



# UC RIVERSIDE - Student Evaluation of Instructor, Instructional Development Courses - Fall 2006

Course: PHIL 003 Section: 001 - ETHICS AND THE  
MEANING OF LIFE

Instructor: John Michael McAteer  
Home Dept.: Philosophy  
Tracking #: 739

Enrollment: 243  
Respondents: 62  
Response Rate: 26%

Enrollment: 1556  
Respondents: 657  
Response Rate: 42%

Enrollment: 41675  
Respondents: 28575  
Response Rate: 69%

Questions	Course						Department				Campus						
	<u>5</u> High	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low	<u>N/A</u>	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	14	23	22	3	-	-	3.8	4.0	0.9	50	3.6	4.0	1.0	56	3.8	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	29	22	8	3	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.9	38	4.4	5.0	0.8	43	4.5	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	18	31	12	1	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.7	67	4.0	4.0	0.8	53	4.2	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	16	34	8	2	-	2	4.1	4.0	0.7	60	4.0	4.0	0.9	61	4.1	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	6	14	29	11	2	-	3.2	3.0	0.9	50	3.2	3.0	1.2	42	3.3	3.0	1.2
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	38	19	5	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.6	67	4.4	5.0	0.7	78	4.4	5.0	0.8
7 Instructor used class time effectively	32	26	2	2	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.7	67	4.4	5.0	0.8	76	4.4	5.0	0.8
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	33	24	4	1	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.7	75	4.1	4.0	1.0	77	4.3	5.0	0.9
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	27	24	9	1	1	-	4.2	4.0	0.9	46	4.2	4.0	0.9	67	4.4	5.0	0.8
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	26	30	5	1	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	40	4.3	5.0	0.8	70	4.4	5.0	0.9
11 Instructor was available and helpful	20	22	17	2	-	1	4.0	4.0	0.9	22	4.2	4.0	0.8	55	4.3	5.0	0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	17	31	13	1	-	-	4.0	4.0	0.7	25	4.2	4.0	0.8	57	4.3	5.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	28	26	7	-	-	1	4.3	4.0	0.7	45	4.2	4.0	0.9	73	4.4	5.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	35	19	6	2	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.8	78	4.3	4.0	0.8	79	4.3	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	30	28	3	-	-	1	4.4	4.0	0.6	73	4.4	5.0	0.7	76	4.3	4.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	22	17	15	4	2	2	3.9	4.0	1.1	25	4.3	4.0	0.9	62	4.1	4.0	1.0
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	22	21	17	1	1	-	4.0	4.0	0.9	30	4.2	4.0	0.9	55	4.2	4.0	0.9
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	38	15	6	-	-	3	4.5	5.0	0.7	92	3.9	4.0	1.0	81	4.1	4.0	1.0
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	28	26	5	1	-	2	4.4	4.0	0.7	75	4.1	4.0	1.0	79	4.2	4.0	1.0
20 Q1	-	-	-	-	-	62	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.6	5.0	0.5	n/a	4.2	4.0	1.0
21 Q2	-	-	-	-	-	62	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.6	5.0	0.5	n/a	4.0	4.0	1.3
22 Q3	-	-	-	-	-	62	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.6	5.0	0.5	n/a	4.1	4.0	1.1
23 Q4	-	-	-	-	-	62	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.6	5.0	0.5	n/a	4.1	4.5	1.1
24 Q5	-	-	-	-	-	62	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.7	5.0	0.5	n/a	4.4	5.0	0.9

\* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM  
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT  
FALL QUARTER 2006

Instructor: McAteer, John Michael  
Home Dept.: Philosophy  
Enrollment: 243 (Excluding auditors and concurrently enrolled students)

Course: Philosophy 003  
ETHICS AND THE MEANING OF LIFE

Number of Forms Returned: 62

Tracking Number: 739

Below are the comments submitted by the students enrolled in the above listed course. All comments have been typed exactly as they were written, including any misspelling, grammatical errors, or punctuation errors. All comments submitted by a given student are grouped in a single paragraph, with a space separating the comments of different students. The number of students writing comments may be less than the number of forms returned because some of the students choose not to make comments.

The comments have been ordered on the basis of student responses ( 5-Strongly Agree , followed by 4-Agree, etc...) to the following questions: Section 2 - 1A: I had a strong desire to take this course. Section 2 - 8B: Instructor was effective as a teacher overall Section 2 - 6C: The course overall as a learning experience was excellent The comments of students who did not respond to the questions were typed last. It is hoped this ordering system will provide a useful but unbiased grouping of comments.

1. This was a really interesting course. I liked the movie clips being tied in to the lecture.
2. I liked this class a lot. The teacher has nice glasses.
3. Professor McAteer is an excellent professor! His lectures are always clear and organized. He explains concepts well and I felt very informed and prepared for the tests and assignments. I loved the class!!
4. I really liked the class. It made me think. It was really enjoyable. I think the professor really likes what he does and that makes it even better!
5. I absolutely loved this class! Professor McAteer is a great instructor. He's a very passionate about the movies and philosophy! He's approachable and he cares about the class.
6. Awesome course material such as a book selection.
7. For next time when you teach this class, please pick someone easier than Booth, this is after all a freshman course. Other than that, I loved your class, and what you have taught me about the ethics of life
8. This instructor was very informative, got the point across, and liked what he taught. His enthusiasm made it easy to understand the concepts and learned them well.
9. McAteer was a really good instructor. I liked the way he showed video clips at the beginning of lectures that had to do with the lecture. He clearly has a passion for philosophy because he was always very motivated to talk about what he thought. There was a lot of reading though :-> I didn't like that part.
10. No real problems only thing I think is sometimes it sometimes is a bit too general. Really wished to get to know instructor more. Seems to be a cool guy.
11. This was a really interesting class to take and Professor McAteer enthusiasm and concern in explaining topics covered this quarter couldn't have been done any better. His PowerPoint slides were very detailed, but not so much as to confuse anyone.
12. John McAteer is a very knowledgeable professor and he made learning philosophy much easier for me. He was always prepared, organized, and efficient. He allowed me to enjoy philosophy and I now know I can walk away satisfied with what I learned.
13. McAteer was always well-prepared & thorough. If I had to miss class his notes on Ilearn were amazingly helpful.
14. The instructor demonstrated his understanding of the concepts by his PowerPoint presentations. The information was presented in such a way that the students could understand if they have not grasped the concepts of the reading material. More specifically, his slides clarified the reading material. He was concerned for the students learning by suggesting for us to ask questions. Any supplemental learning aids (like movie clips) were related to the courses material. Overall, he is an excellent instructor.
15. The teacher is an excellent teacher, very enthusiastic and helpful. He definitely understood the material and made class interesting. His slides and lectures definitely helped me understand the material. Reading was possible, but overwhelming. Overall, excellent teacher though! :->

16. I liked the PowerPoint presentation structure of the class and the fact that they were downloadable. The short movie clips that were incorporated into lecture were very helpful and apropos. The reading could have been more selective and less in general especially Booth.
17. The content of this course was quite interesting. the slideshows were very informative and detailed with information. It was very helpful that you made these slideshows available online. If you times, the lectures were a bit boring. Maybe a more enthusiastic lecture will make the course more interesting.
18. The professor was very well organized with the materials presented during each class. He was also very comfortable explaining the subject material. Also, the extra supplements were helpful.
19. I like your class and new teaching methods. Power points were good.
20. He really helped clarify the extensive reading of required by the course.
21. The films shown in class were very helpful for understanding the material.
22. Please grade the term papers before the week of finals. I do not understand why three TA's were able to send the grades of the term papers, but the fourth TA was not able to send the grade of the last set of term papers.
23. (Illegible)
24. His slides for a very clear & precise. Good complementary movie clips.
25. Good class and very good teacher.
26. A good teacher, nice and all but it's just too much reading. If the reading were more specific and clearer would make this course so much more pleasant to take.
27. Wonderful teacher! The course material is difficult, yet the professor & TA helped in gaining an understanding. He was well-prepared and enthused.
28. Seems to have a passion for philosophy. Very thorough notes, but could elaborate off slides.
29. The class was a really enjoyable & informative. I wish however that lecture was a bit more engaging. Having more enthusiasm and lectures would have helped in making the class much more enjoyable.
30. It's a good course.
31. Philosophy (student scribbled on page).
32. (Illegible)



# UC RIVERSIDE - Student Evaluation of Instructor, Faculty Evaluation Courses - Summer Session 2 2006

Course: PHIL 002 Section: 201 - CONTEMPRARY MORAL ISSUES

Instructor: John Michael McAteer

Home Dept.: Philosophy

Enrollment: 15  
Respondents: 9  
Response Rate: 60%

Enrollment: 154  
Respondents: 66  
Response Rate: 43%

Enrollment: 4460  
Respondents: 2180  
Response Rate: 49%

Questions	Course								Mean Med SD			Department			Campus				
	<u>7</u> High	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low	<u>N/A</u>	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 What is your overall rating of the instructor? (1=Poor, 7=Excellent)	7	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	6.6	7.0	1.0	80	6.2	7.0	1.0	86	5.9	6.0	1.3
2 What is your overall rating of the course? (1=Poor, 7=Excellent)	7	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	6.4	7.0	1.1	75	5.7	6.0	1.4	81	5.6	6.0	1.4
3 Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner? (N/A=Not Applicable, 1=Never, 4=Occasionally, 7=Always)	7	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	6.7	7.0	0.7	80	6.2	6.0	1.0	90	6.0	6.0	1.3
4 Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material? (N/A=Not Applicable, 1=Not Concerned, 4=Somewhat Concerned, 7=Very Concerned)	6	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	6.6	7.0	0.7	100	6.2	7.0	1.0	87	6.0	7.0	1.4
5 Have you learned something you consider valuable? (N/A=Not Applicable, 1=Definitely Not, 4=To Some Extend, 7=Definitely Yes)	6	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	6.0	7.0	1.6	60	5.8	6.0	1.5	67	5.8	6.0	1.5
6 What is your expected grade? (1 = F; 2 = D; 3 = C; 4 = B/C; 5 = B; 6 = A/B; 7 = A)	1	4	2	1	-	-	-	1	5.6	6.0	0.9	60	5.6	6.0	1.2	66	5.5	6.0	1.4
7 What % of class did you attend? (1 = 0%; 2 = 15%; 3 = 30%; 4 = 50%; 5 = 60%; 6 = 80%; 7 = 100%)	2	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	6.1	6.0	0.6	25	6.7	7.0	0.5	36	6.6	7.0	0.7
8 Please rate the clarity of the login instructions? (1=Poor, 7=Excellent)	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.0	7.0	0.0	100	6.6	7.0	1.0	100	6.4	7.0	1.1
9 Please rate the usefulness of the Office of Instructional Development reminders to logon to iEVAL? (1= Not Useful, 7= Very Useful)	7	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	6.4	7.0	1.3	60	6.4	7.0	0.9	74	6.2	7.0	1.2
10 Please rate the level of encouragement that your instructor provided to ensure your participation in iEVAL (ie in class reminders, e-mails, announcement on iLEARN, etc..) (1=No Encouragement, 4 = Some Encouragement, 7 = Lots of Encouragement)	5	-	2	-	1	-	-	1	6.0	7.0	1.5	100	5.7	6.0	1.5	81	5.4	6.0	1.8
11 Please select the mode of reminder that most influenced on your decision to complete this online course evaluation. 1 = Office of Inst. Development E-Mail Reminders 2 = Instructor E-Mail Reminders 3 = In Class reminder by Instructor 4 = Strong desire to submit feedback about instruction 5 = Reminder link on GROWL and iLEARN login pages 6 = Familiarity with process because of TA online evaluations 7 = Other	1	-	-	-	2	2	2	2	2.7	2.0	2.1	25	3.7	3.0	2.3	26	3.4	3.0	2.2
12 Please rate your level of satisfaction with the convenience of providing written comments on iEVAL? (1 = Very Dissatisfied, 7 = Very Satisfied)	7	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	6.4	7.0	1.1	75	6.1	7.0	1.2	79	6.0	7.0	1.4
13 Do you prefer to provide written comments on on paper or iEVAL? (1 = Paper, 2 = iEVAL)	1	-	-	-	-	1	7	-	1.8	1.0	2.0	25	2.1	1.0	2.1	31	2.0	1.0	2.0
14 What type of course evaluation would you prefer to use in the future? (1 = In class paper evaluation, 2 = Online Evaluation )	1	-	-	-	-	1	7	-	1.8	1.0	2.0	40	2.0	1.0	2.0	33	1.9	1.0	1.9

\* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.



## UC RIVERSIDE - Student Comments of Instructor, Faculty Evaluation Courses - Summer Session 2 2006

Course: PHIL 002 Section: 201 - CONTEMPRARY MORAL ISSUES  
Instructor: John Michael McAteer

**Question # 8:** Please evaluate the instructor's teaching in this course. Do not identify yourself in any way.

- I think his teaching techniques are very good. I've learned alot and I think he cares not only for the material that is learned but also that his students understand what they are learning; at the same time he values his students opinions. Really good professor.
- I think the instructor did a great job teaching the course. He always made sure to ask the class opinion and made sure we understood his lectures and what was going on in the films.
- The instructor was great. he took a lot of time explaining the material and making sure we understood the different aspects of everything we were studying. He encouraged our participation and looked at our views as if each was valid, even if he disagreed with it. One of the best instructors i have had the privelage of working with.
- Great job
- He knew his material very well, presented it in a clear and consise manner. He was always trying to make us participate in class discussions. Overall, great instructor I was very satisfied with the way he taught the material. His TA Gregory is awsome as well and is extremely passionate about every thing he lectures about.
- In all of his lectures, Professor McAteer strongly encouraged us to express our opinions and challenge the material he was presenting. His style of teaching was ideal for this course on contemporary moral issues. I enjoyed constantly being challenged to support my beliefs with credible arguments.
- Very concerned that students were to learn course material. Lecture slides proved useful. Taught the course in a very straight-forward manner.